

# NM EQIP FY 2005 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands - Espanola F.O.

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_ Farm No. \_\_\_\_\_ Tract No. \_\_\_\_\_ CMS Field No's. \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Non-Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Preliminary Rating \_\_\_\_ Final Rating \_\_\_\_

## 1. Plants - 60 Potential Points (30% of Total)

Note: Instructions on separate sheet		% Area in Contract Before Treatment	% Area in Contract After Treatment.	Potential Points	Bench-mark Points	After Points
<b>Grazing Plan:</b>	Intensive Rotation			20		
	Seasonal Use			10		
	Continuous Use			0		
<b>Deferment Period:</b>	> 90% of Growing Season			20		
	51-90% of Growing Season			10		
	26-50% of Growing Season			5		
	0-25% of Growing season			0		
<b>Stocking Rate: Based on Loamy WP-2 SI 26-50</b>	> 11 Acres/ 1 AUM			20		
	9-11 Acres/ 1 AUM			10		
	6.5-8.9 Acres/ 1 AUM			5		
	<6.4 Acres/ 1 AUM			0		
<b>(Irr. Lands) Stocking Rate: Based on Soils Data</b>				20		
				10		
				5		
				0		
<b>1. Plants</b>				<b>Total:</b>		

## 2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - 105 Potential Points (52.5% of Total)

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation plan of operations must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.	Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
<b>Soil Erosion (Erosion and Deposition)</b>	25		
Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment (548)	5		
Grade Stabilization Structure (410)	5		
Range Planting (550)	5		
Brush Management (314)	5		
Fencing (382)	5		
<b>Water Quantity</b>	20		
Spring Development (547)	5		
Pond (378) / Pond Sealing (521)	5		
Well (642)	5		
Livestock Pipeline and Storage (516 & 614)	5		
<b>Water Quality (Surface Water Contaminants)</b>	20		
Well (642)	5		
Livestock Pipeline and Storage (516 & 614)	5		
Pond (378) / Pond Sealing (521)	5		
Fencing (382)	5		
<b>Air (Dust)</b>	5		
Range Planting (550)	5		
<b>Plants (Suitability, Condition and Management)</b>	15		
Range Planting (550)	5		
Fencing (382)	5		
Brush Management (314)	5		

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_ Farm No. \_\_\_\_\_ Tract No. \_\_\_\_\_ CMS Field No's. \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

# NM EQIP FY 2005 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands - Espanola F.O.

Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Non-Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_

Preliminary Rating \_\_\_\_ Final Rating \_\_\_\_

## 2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - Continued

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation plan of operations must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.	Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
<b>Animals (Habitat and Management)</b>	<b>20</b>		
Range Planting (550)	4		
Stream Habitat Improvement (395)	4		
Well (642) / Pond Sealing (521)	4		
Livestock Pipeline and Storage (516 & 614)	4		
Wildlife Watering Facility (648)	4		
<b>2. Conservation Practice Selection</b>	<b>Total:</b>		

## 3. Other Considerations - 35 Potential Points (17.5% of Total)

Items A thru D are required. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them as item(s) E and F.	Potential Points	Bench-mark Points	After Points
A. At risk species habitat will be enhanced.	15	0	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	4	0	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active or planned sec. 319 project.	4	0	
D. The land is within a NMED designated Category I watershed.	4	0	
E. Proposed contracted area will be treated to eradicate and/or prevent infestation of Class A and / or Class B noxious weeds, as designated by NMDA.	4	0	
F. This land is involved with other conservation enhancement programs such as Partners for Wildlife, NMG&F, etc.	4	0	
<b>3. Other Considerations</b>	<b>Total:</b>		

"A minimum of 50 points of the total available points must be earned to be considered for funding"

Total Points (After minus Benchmark): Section 1 \_\_\_\_\_ Section 2 \_\_\_\_\_ Section 3 \_\_\_\_\_ Total for Worksheet \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Designated Conservationist

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date